UNITES STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
Х	1
LAUREN FISCHER,	
No. in all and	

Plaintiff,

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

No.:

-against-

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

Plaintiff, by and through her attorneys, Bonina & Bonina, P.C., complaining of the defendant herein as and for a Verified Complaint in the above entitled action, respectfully show to this Court, and allege upon information and belief, as follows:

FIRST:

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

SECOND:

That this case falls within one or more exceptions of CPLR §1602.

THIRD:

That at all times mentioned herein, the plaintiff, LAUREN FISCHER is, was and has been a resident of the County of Orange and State of New York.

FOURTH:

At all times mentioned herein, the defendant, THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA "USA", through the United States Public Health Services and United States Department of Health & Human Services employed DANIEL PAGNANI, M.D. pursuant to the Public Health Services Act, 42 USC ¶ 233, as amended by the federally supported Health Centers Assistance Act of 1995, for purposes of coverage by the Federal Tort Claims Act.

FIFTH:

At all times mentioned herein, defendant "USA", owned, operated, supervised, maintained, funded and/or controlled certain premises, namely, THE GREATER HUDSON VALLEY FAMILY HEALTH CENTER, INC. within the County of Orange, City of Newburgh and State of New York, for the care of sick and ailing persons and for other individuals requiring medical care and attention, including the plaintiff herein.

SIXTH:

At all times mentioned herein, defendant "USA" for consideration offered to render competent and adequate medical services, nursing services, emergency services, ambulance services, patient transportation services, operating services, recovery room services, radiology services, laboratory services, pharmacy services, diagnostic and treatment services, surgical services, anesthesia services in general, all necessary services to give proper, adequate and competent medical care and attention to members of the general public, or more particularly, to the plaintiff herein and further held itself out to such individuals as having the necessary personnel, equipment, supplies or facilities to perform the same.

SEVENTH:

At all times mentioned herein, DANIEL PAGNANI, M.D. hereinafter referred to as "PAGNANI", is was, and has been a Federal employee and maintained offices for the practice of medicine and/or did engage in the practice of medicine within the County of Orange, City of Newburgh and State of New York.

EIGHTH:

At all times mentioned herein, PAGNANI, held himself out to the general public, and more particularly to the plaintiff herein, as a duly qualified and/or licensed physician and/or surgeon capable of practicing medicine and/or surgery within the State of New York.

NINTH:

At all times mentioned herein, PAGNANI, for a consideration, offered to render proper, adequate and competent medical services, surgical services, including pre and post-surgical services, examination services, diagnostic services, prescription services, radiology services, laboratory services, treatment services and in general all necessary services to give proper, adequate and competent medical care and attention to members of the general public, and more particularly the plaintiff herein, and further held himself out to such individuals as having the necessary and requisite skill, expertise, training, education, and/or support personnel, equipment, supplies, diagnostic, laboratory, radiological and/or hospital facilities to perform the same up to the standards for such care prevailing within the local, state and national community.

TENTH:

That at all times mentioned herein, GREATER HUDSON VALLEY FAMILY HEALTH CENTER, INC., hereinafter "HUDSON VALLEY", was and still is a corporation, duly existing pursuant to the laws of the State of New York, and doing business within the County of Orange, City of Newburgh and State of New York.

ELEVENTH:

That at all times mentioned herein, "HUDSON VALLEY" owned, operated, supervised, maintained and/or controlled certain premises within the County of Orange, City of Newburgh and State of New York, for the care of sick and ailing persons, and for other individuals requiring medical care and attention including the plaintiff herein.

TWELFTH:

That at all times mentioned herein, "HUDSON VALLEY", for a consideration, offered to render competent and adequate medical services, midwifery services, nursing services,

emergency room services, ambulance services, patient transportation services, operating room services, recovery room services, radiology services, laboratory services, diagnostic and treatment services, surgical services including pre-operative and post-operative services, anesthesia services, and in general all necessary services to give proper, adequate and competent medical care to members of the general public, and more particularly the plaintiff herein, and further held itself out to such individuals as having the necessary personnel, equipment, supplies and facilities to perform the same.

THIRTEENTH:

On October 5, 2016, plaintiff filed her administrative claim. To date, plaintiff has not received a Notice of Final Determination, however, more than six months has passed since the filing of the SF-95 claim.

FOURTEENTH:

Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 USC §2675(a), 28 USC §1346(b), 28 USC §2671-80 and USC §2679(d)(1) because the plaintiff received medical treatment from Dr. Pagnani and Hudson Valley both of which were/are employees of the "USA". Jurisdiction is also proper as plaintiff filed an Administrative Claim and six months from the date of said filing has passed.

VENUE

FIFTEENTH:

Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 25 USC §2401(b), 28 USC §1346(b), and 28 USC §2671-2680.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION TO RECOVER MONETARY DAMAGES FROM DEFENDANT UNDER THE THEORY OF DEPARTURES FROM ACCEPTED MEDICAL PRACTICE ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF LAUREN FISCHER

SIXTEENTH:

The plaintiff, repeats and reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs "FIRST" through "FIFTEENTH" of this Verified Complaint, with the same force and effect as though said allegations were herein fully set forth at length.

SEVENTEENTH:

That in reliance upon the foregoing, the plaintiff, LAUREN FISCHER, during a continuous course of treatment beginning on or about August 12, 2013 and ending on or about April 8, 2014, came under and/or submitted to the care and attention of the defendant.

EIGHTEENTH:

That at all times mentioned herein, the plaintiff related a history, various complaints, signs, symptoms, pains, sensations and other physical and/or mental occurrences to the defendant and/or its agents, servants, associates, partners and/or employees.

NINETEENTH:

That at all times mentioned herein, the plaintiff submitted to various tests, examinations, procedures, treatments and techniques, both oral and physical, performed by or at the special instance and request of the defendant, its agents, servants, associates, employees and/or partners.

TWENTIETH:

That at all times mentioned herein, the defendant, its agents, servants, associates, partners and/or employees, were aware of or should have been aware of the results, import, findings and/or consequences of the tests, examinations, procedure, treatments and/or techniques

performed on the plaintiff, by the said defendant, its agents, servants, employees, associates, and/or partners.

TWENTY-FIRST:

That in view of the foregoing, the course of treatment, advice, diagnosis, medical care and attention, prescriptions, tests, examinations, studies, surgery, pre and post-surgical care, procedures and/or techniques given to and/or performed on the plaintiff by the defendant, its agents, servants, associates, partners and/or employees was not in accord with the accepted standards of the proper practice of medicine, which are generally recognized within the local state or national community.

TWENTY-SECOND:

That the defendant, individually, and/or jointly and/or concurrently, with its agents, servants, associates, partners and/or employees, by acts of commission and/or omission were negligent, careless and reckless and departed from accepted practices in that they:

- a) Failed and/or omitted to properly and completely reduce the uterine inversion;
 - b) Failed and/or omitted to properly and timely perform a complete uterine inversion;
- c) Failed and/or omitted to obtain a complete reduction of the uterine inversion;
- d) Failed and/or omitted to recognize and understand that a partial inversion was inadequate in treating the plaintiff's condition;
 - e) Failed and/or omitted to recommend, order and/or administer the proper medication to relax the plaintiff's uterus so a complete reduction of the uterine inversion could be obtained;

- Failed and/or omitted to understand that administering medications to contract the uterus was contraindicated;
- g) Improperly administered medication to contract plaintiff's uterus which actually required medication to relax the uterus:
- h) Failed and/or omitted to immediately bring the plaintiff into the operating room when they knew and/or should have known that a complete reduction was not obtained;
- Failed and/or omitted to immediately bring the plaintiff into the operating room when they knew and/or should have known that she continued to bleed;
- j) Failed and/or omitted to timely operate on the plaintiff;
- k) Failed and/or omitted to stop the bleeding and/or reduce the inversion before a hysterectomy had to be performed;
- Failed and/or omitted to recognize and/or understand that plaintiff required immediate surgery to obtain an uterine reduction;
- Improperly placed excessive traction when delivering the placenta and thereby caused the uterine inversion;
- Failed and/or omitted to properly permit and manage separation of the placenta during delivery;
- Failed and/or omitted to recognize that the placenta was not detached before delivering it;
- p) Failed and/or omitted to properly manually detach the placenta and push the uterus back into position;

- q) Failed and/or omitted to flushing the vagina with saline solution so that the water pressure inflated the uterus back into position (hydrostatic correction);
- r) Failed and omitted to perform immediate surgery on the plaintiff when it became obvious that a complete reduction was not achieved;
- s) Failed and/or omitted to recognize the severity of plaintiff's condition;
- t) Improperly monitored the plaintiff for several hours rather than recognize she required immediate surgery;
- u) Caused and/or permitted the plaintiff to bleed heavily and require transfusions;
- v) Caused and/or permitted the plaintiff to continue to bleed heavily when she required surgery;
- w) Improperly delayed plaintiff's surgery;
- x) Improperly treated plaintiff with multiple blood transfusions and allowed her to continue bleeding heavily when it was obvious that she required immediate surgery;
- y) Improperly administered Pitocin when plaintiff's membranes were still intact;
- z) Failed and omitted to recognize that plaintiff's membranes were still intact prior to administering Pitocin;
- aa) Failed and omitted to understand that the plaintiff's membranes were still intact when she presented to the hospital for delivery;
- bb) Failed and omitted to understand that plaintiff's membranes were still intact when the internal fetal monitor was placed;

8

{00121625}

- care, attention, services, treatment, diagnosis and other medical services rendered on behalf of the plaintiff;
- dd) Failed and omitted to perform proper and timely tests, examinations, procedures, studies, surgery, pre and post-surgical care, and in general in giving medical care, attention, treatment and/or care to the plaintiff;
- ee) Failed and omitted to understand the clinical analysis, laboratory analysis, history, physical examination, complaints, pains, signs, and/or symptoms so that a proper diagnosis could be made and/or a proper course of treatment given; and
- ff) Failed and omitted to conform to the accepted standards of care and skill in giving advice, treatment, prescriptions, examinations, information, services, surgery, pre and post-surgical care, attention, studies, laboratory and/or radiological examinations and/or facts to the plaintiff herein.

TWENTY-THIRD:

That solely as a result of the negligence and/or medical malpractice of the defendant, and/or its agents, servants, associates, partners and/or employees, and without any negligence or culpable conduct on the part of the plaintiff contributing thereto, the plaintiff was caused to sustain the injuries which are hereinafter referred to.

TWENTY-FOURTH:

That as a result of the negligence and/or medical malpractice, as aforesaid, the plaintiff became, still is and for a long time to come will be sick, sore, lame, bruised, injured and wounded in and about the various parts of her head, brain, internal organs, body and limbs, both internally and externally including various organs, functions of her body and including

Case 7:17-cv-06751-KMK Document 4 Filed 11/17/17 Page 10 of 14

surrounding muscles, tissues, arteries, veins, blood vessels, cells and other parts of plaintiff's

body and also sustained psychic injuries and/or mental anguish and agony and was otherwise

injured and upon information and belief said injuries are permanent; that by reason of the

foregoing the plaintiff was obliged to and did necessarily employ medical aid, medicinals,

hospital aid and other treatment in an attempt to cure herself of said injuries and has been

prevented from performing her duties and will be so prevented for a long time to come.

TWENTY-FIFTH:

That by reason of the foregoing departures from accepted medical practice the plaintiff

has been damaged by the defendant herein and seeks a monetary award and damages which

exceed the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction over

the defendant herein.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff LAUREN FISCHER, demands a monetary judgment in the

form of damages against the defendant herein on the First Cause of Action, in an amount which

exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower Courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction of

this action; together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

August 29, 2017

"I have read the foregoing and I certify that, upon information and belief, the source of which is the review of a file maintained by my office, that the foregoing Summons, Verified Complaint

and Certificate of Merit is not frivolous as defined in subsection (c) of Section 130-1.1 of the

10 {00121625}

Case 7:17-cv-06751-KMK Document 4 Filed 11/17/17 Page 11 of 14

Rules of the Chief Administrator."

Amy L. Insler, Esq.

Bonina & Bonina, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff

Lauren Fischer

16 Court Street - Suite 1800

Brooklyn, New York 11241

Phone No.: (718) 522-1786

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT PURSUANT TO CPLR § 3012(a)(1)

I am an attorney duly licensed to practice before the Courts of the State of New York. I have reviewed the facts of this case and have consulted a physician licensed to practice medicine who is knowledgeable in the relevant issues involved in this action. On the basis of such review and consultation, it is my belief that there is a reasonable basis for the commencement of this action.

{00121625}

Case 7:17-cv-06751-KMK Document 4 Filed 11/17/17 Page 13 of 14

STATE OF	OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF KINGS ss:					
	dersigned, am an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of	New York, and				
	certify that the annexed has been compared by me with the original and found to be a true and complete copy thereof.					
Attorney's Certification						
X	say that: I am the attorney of record, or of counsel with I have read the annexed SUMMONS AND VERIFIED	O COMPLAINT know the know the contents thereof and the same are true to				
Attorney's Verification B Aftirmation	my knowledge, except those matters therein which are stated to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters I belief					
	The reason I make this affirmation instead of Plaintiff(s office.	s) is Plaintiff(s) reside(s) in a County other than the one in which i maintain my				
I affirm the	hat the foregoing statements are true under penalties of perjur	y				
Dated: Au	ugust 29, 2017	Stray Toust				
		Amy L. Insler, Esq.				
STATE C	OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF KINGS ss:					
Individual Verification	matters I believe them to be true	action herein; I have read the annexed know the contents thereof and s therein which are stated to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those				
	the of					
Corporate Verification	a corporation, one of the parties to the action; I have read the annexed					
	on information and benefit and as to mose matters i ben	ore mean to be mus.				
My belief,	f, as to those matters therein not stated upon knowledge, is ba	sed on the following:				
Sworn to h	before me on					
(Print signer's	r's name below signature)	(Print signer's name below signature)				
STATE C	OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF KINGS ss:					
On	, being sworn says: I am not a party to the action, am over , I served a true copy of the annexed	er the age of 18 years of age and reside in in the following manner:				
Service By Mail						
Service By E-filing						
	by transmitting the same to the attorney by electronic means to the telephone number or other station or other limitation designated by the attorney for that purpose. In doing so I received a signal from the equipment of the attorney indicating that the transmission					
Service By Electronic Means	was received, and mailed a copy of same to that attorney, i official depository of the U.S. Postal Service within the Staindicated below:	n a sealed envelope, with postage prepaid thereon, in a post office or ate of New York, addressed to the last known address of the addressee(s) as I				
	he donneiting the come with an examight delivery carries i	in a wrapper properly addressed. Said delivery was made prior to the latest time				
Service By Overnight Delivery	designated by the overnight delivery service for overnight	delivery. The address and delivery service are indicated below:				
6	to Contract the					
Sworn to	o before me on					
(Print signer's	r's name below signature)	(Print signer's name below signature)				

	D STATES DISTRICT CO HERN DISTRICT OF NEW		
LAURI	EN FISCHER,		
	Plaintiff	•	
	-against-		
THE U	NITED STATES OF AMER	RICA,	
	Defenda	nts.	
	SUMMO	ONS AND VERIFI	IED COMPLAINT
		Attorneys for Plate 16 Court Street, Street, New York Tele. No.: (718) 5 Fax No.: (718) 2	laintiff(s) Suite 1800 Tork 11241 522-1786
Pursuant certifies t are not fr	hat, upon information and belief a	signed, an attorney adn and reasonable inquiry,	mitted to practice in the courts of New York State, y, the contentions contained in the annexed documents
Dated:	August 29, 2017	Signature Print Sign	e: Juy Javal mer's Name: Amy L. Insler, Esq.
Service o	f a copy of the within		is hereby admitted.
		Attorney((s) for
PLEASE Notice of Entry Notice of Settlement Dated:	TAKE NOTICE that the within is a (certified) true of entered in the office of the clerk of that an Order of which the within is one of the judges of the within name	the within named Court of s a true copy will be prese	
To: Attorney(s) for		BROOKLYN, N.Y. 11241